UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,010	04/24/2006	Jun Fujita	124688	8932
25944 7590 03/19/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3208	350	GUGLIOTTA, NICOLE T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,010	FUJITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/542,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 5 and 7 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada (EP 1101910 A1, submitted by Applicant in 7/5/2005 IDS).
- 4. In regard to claims 1 and 4, a honeycomb structure for carrying a catalyst to be used in exhaust gas purification (Section [0001]), comprising a plurality of honeycomb segments joined by a bonding material (Section [010]). The outer walls of these honeycomb segments are uneven and undulating, and the degree of "flatness" (undulation) is 0.2 1.5 mm (Sections [0011] [0012]).

The dictionary defines "protrusion" as a "something that bulges out or is protuberant or projects from its surroundings". An outer wall with an uneven surface (undulation) would inherently have a portion which bulges out relative to another

Art Unit: 1794

portion. Therefore, Examiner interprets the undulation of the outer wall of each honeycomb segment as a "protrusion" of 0.2 – 1.55 mm.

In regard to the limitation of claim 4, Examiner considers the joining of the honeycomb segments with a bonding material disclosed by Yamada (Section [0024]) to be the same as "pressing the two honeycomb segments against each other, with the adhesive layer in between".

- 5. In regard to claim 2, the "protrusion portions" of Yamada's honeycomb segments re made from the outer wall of the honeycomb segment, and Yamada discloses the honeycomb segments comprises one of the following: cordierite, SiC, SiN, alumina, mullite, and lithium-aluminum silicate (LAS) (Section [0014].
- 6. In regard to claims 3 and 7, Yamada discloses a undulation (protrusion) of 0.2 1.55 mm and a bonding material thickness of 1.5 3 mm (depending on flatness) (Section [0024]). Taking the undulation (protrusion) and bonding later into consideration, the total thickness between adhesion surfaces is in the range of 1.7 4.55 mm.
- 7. In regard to claim 8, Yamada discloses in Example 1 a bonding material thickness of at least 1.5 mm. Therefore, it would be reasonable to assume the undulating surfaces of honeycomb segments are not in contact with the adhesion

Application/Control Number: 10/542,010 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

surface of the opposing honeycomb segments due to the presence of at least 1.5 mm of bonding material in between.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 5, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada.
- 10. In regard to claim 5, Yamada discloses (Example 1) a honeycomb consisting of 8 segments, each segment with a different flatness: 0.05 mm, 0.1 mm, 0.15mm, 0.2 mm, 0.3 mm, 0.6 mm, 1 mm or 1.5 mm. All the segments, with the exception of the segment of 0.05 mm flatness, were manufactured with undulating walls by pressing a board having unevenness against the outer wall of the segments (Section [0023]).

Examiner considers the honeycomb segment with a flatness of 0.05 mm to be "flat" because it has not been altered with undulations/protrusions like the other segments. When this "flat" honeycomb segment is brought together with the other honeycomb segments to create a honeycomb structure, the "flat" honeycomb segment will be bonded through a bonding material to at least two other honeycomb segments having outer walls with larger protrusions (larger undulating thickness). This will create

Application/Control Number: 10/542,010

Art Unit: 1794

a ceramic honeycomb in which protrusion portions are present on a first adhesion surface and the second adhesion surface (the "flat" segment) is flat.

Page 5

- 11. In regard to the application of the bonding material to the ceramic members (honeycomb segments) in claims 5 and 6, Yamada is silent in regard to how many surfaces the bonding material is applied to before joining the honeycomb segments together. However, joining surfaces with an adhesive is shown by Yamada to be known, and there are only 2 methods possible: (a) applying the bonding material to the surface of one ceramic member, or (b) applying the bonding material to the surface of both ceramic members. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the bonding material by either method.
- 12. In regard to claim 9, Yamada discloses in Example 1 a bonding material thickness of at least 1.5 mm. Therefore, it would be reasonable to assume the undulating surfaces of honeycomb segments are not in contact with the adhesion surface of the opposing honeycomb segments due to the presence of at least 1.5 mm of bonding material in between.

### Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments, see After Final Remarks, filed March 9, 2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 - 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been

Application/Control Number: 10/542,010 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made

in view of Yamada.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA whose telephone number is

(571)270-1552. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30 - 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA

Examiner

Art Unit 1794